



## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ICUMM. 6FCFC6 G

09/407,147

09/28/99

LOPEZ

QM12/0630 020995 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SIXTEENTH FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

**EXAMINER** YASKO JR.J

ART UNIT 3763 PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

06/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

| Office | Action | Summary |
|--------|--------|---------|
|        |        | •       |

| Application No. 09/407147 | Applicant(s) LOPEZ etal |  |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner                  | Group Art Unit 3 763    |  |

## ---The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address---Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 30 DAYS MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** is/are pending in the application. Claim(s)\_ Of the above claim(s)\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s)\_ \_\_\_\_\_is/are allowed. is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s)— \_\_\_\_\_is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement. Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. \_\_\_\_\_is □ approved □ disapproved. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_ ☐ The drawing(s) filed on\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some\* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). \*Certified copies not received:\_\_\_

## Attachment(s)

| actiment(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) | ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413                     |  |  |
| ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892                      | ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 |  |  |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948    | □ Other  |  |  |

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

\*U.S. GPO: 1997-433-221/62717

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 3763

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figures 3, 4; Figure 5, 6; Figures 7-22; Figures 23, 24; respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3763

The status of the related applications are required to be updated. Also, numerous handwritten mendments have been attempted at pages 9, 14, 15 and th specification. Clarification and/or correction is requested.

John Yasko:bhw3 June 13, 2000

JOHN D. YASKO PRIMARY EXAMINEF

ART UNIT 236 376 3